	Ca <b>se3:03:</b> 0	14-03-1831-84JJMJJD & Comment 18:18:	3 Fileed 1023/1136/2000 6 Page 2 geo 2 3 of 3
1	matter, subje	ct to the Court's availability;	
2	WHEREAS this schedule will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed		
3	by Court order;		
4	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 6-		
5	1(a), that:		
6	(1)	Defendants' motion to dismiss t	he Second Amended Consolidated Complaint shall
7		be filed on or before March 27,	2006;
8	(2)	Plaintiffs' opposition to Defenda	ants' motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before
9		May 1, 2006;	
10	(3)	Defendants' reply in support of	their motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before
11		May 22, 2006; and	
12	(4)	The hearing on Defendants' mo	tion to dismiss shall be on June 6, 2006, or such
13		other date as the Court may find	convenient.
14	Dated: Marc	th 13, 2006	FENWICK & WEST LLP
15			Ry: c/Sucan S Muck
16			By: s/Susan S. Muck Susan S. Muck
17			Attorneys for Defendants INVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; SERGIO
18			MAGISTRI and ROSS MULHOLLAND
19	Dated: Marc	th 13, 2006	ABRAHAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP
20	2 400 61 11242	11 10, 2000	
21			By: s/Jeffrey S. Abraham Jeffrey S. Abraham
22			Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs
23			
24	23570/00404/LIT/1	246239.2	
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION	2101 (MIX)	

CASE NO. C-04-3181 (MJJ)

FROM-

RECEIVED MAR-13-06 10:07AM

1	matter, subject to the Court's availability;			
2	WHEREAS this schedule will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed			
3	by Court order;			
4	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 6-			
5	1(a), that:			
6	(1) Defendants' motion to dismiss the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint shall			
7	be filed on or before March 27, 2006;			
8	(2) Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before			
9	May 1, 2006;			
10	(3) Defendants' reply in support of their motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before			
11	May 22, 2006; and			
12	(4) The hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss shall be on June 6, 2006, or such			
13	other date as the Court may find convenient.			
14	Dated: March, 2006 FENWICK & WEST LLP			
15	By: s/Susan S. Muck			
16	Susan S. Muck			
17	Attorneys for Defendants INVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; SERGIO			
18	MAGISTRI and ROSS MULHOLLAND			
19	Dated: March, 2006 ABRAHAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP			
20	Dated: March, 2006 ABRAHAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP			
21	By: All well / Weffred S. Albfaham			
22	Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs			
23				
24	ATES DISTRICT			
25				
26	IT IS SO ORDERED			
27	3/16/2006 Z Judge Martin J. Jenkins			
28	Judge Mai			
	STIPULATION  CASE NO. C.04-3181 (MID)			